

FIA 2020/22

XII CONGRESSO/CONGRESO IBEROAMERICANO DE ACÚSTICA
XXIX ENCONTRO DA SOCIEDADE BRASILEIRA DE ACÚSTICA - SOBRAC

Florianópolis, SC, Brazil

OpenPulse: Open Source Software for Pulsation Analysis of Pipeline Systems

Vargas, J. G.¹; Tuozzo, D. M.¹; Kulakauskas, L. V. Q.¹; Fernandes, A.¹; Lenzi, A.¹; Hulse, E. R.¹; Silva, O. M.¹

¹ Laboratório de Vibrações e Acústica, Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, Florianópolis, SC, Brazil

Resumo

Vibrações acusticamente induzidas (AIV) podem causar falhas em sistemas de tubulações pressurizadas por compressores alternativos recíprocos de grande capacidade. *OpenPulse* é um software desenvolvido em código aberto, escrito em Python, capaz de modelar numericamente AIV de baixas frequências. A geometria da estrutura de interesse, representada por linhas, é importada e associada a propriedades de materiais, gases e seções, além de condições de contorno como pressões internas, forças, acelerações etc (obtidas de maneira experimental ou teórica). Uma análise harmônica acústica é realizada utilizando o domínio acústico 1D equivalente do sistema, discretizado através do Método dos Elementos Finitos de Transferência (FETM). O campo de pressões resultante é aplicado como carregamento distribuído interno sobre o respectivo domínio estrutural, modelado através da teoria de vigas de Timoshenko e do Método dos Elementos Finitos (FEM). Assim, uma análise harmônica estrutural é realizada e o campo de deslocamentos (vibrações) é obtido. Além de condições de contorno tradicionais, elementos especiais como massas, molas, amortecedores e juntas podem ser inseridos ao longo do domínio. A estratégia é demonstrada através de um exemplo simples, onde as respostas acústicas e estruturais de determinados pontos do sistema são apresentadas.

Palavras-chave: vibrações acusticamente induzidas, pulsação, FEM, FETM.

PACS: —.

OpenPulse: Open Source Software for Pulsation Analysis of Pipeline Systems

Abstract

Acoustically Induced Vibration (AIV) can be a cause of failure in gas pipeline systems pressurized by reciprocating compressors. *OpenPulse* is an open-source software written in Python for numerical modelling of low-frequency AIV. It allows to import the geometry of the pipe system, insert materials properties, set sections, and import pressure/acceleration/force loads (from measurements or theory). The computational procedure performs a time-harmonic acoustic response analysis of the respective 1D acoustic domain using the Finite Element Transfer Matrix Method (FETM). The resulting pressure field is applied as a distributed load over the respective structural piping system, modeled with the Timoshenko beam theory and the Finite Element Method (FEM), in order to run a structural time-harmonic response analysis. In addition to simply boundary conditions as constraints on displacements, *OpenPulse* allows to insert lumped springs, masses and dampers along the domain. This strategy is presented using a simple application with a particular set of boundary conditions, where nodal pressure and displacement frequency plots are presented at some points of the system.

Keywords: acoustically-induced vibration, pulsation, FEM, FETM.



1. INTRODUCTION

In oil refinery plants, large reciprocating compressors represent an important source of excitation for gas pipeline systems. As a consequence, the structural behavior of the pipes can be strongly affected by the response of the acoustic domain (the gas being transported through pipes). This kind of excitation is known as Acoustically Induced Vibration (AIV) and is an important vibration mechanism in pipeline systems, which responds to both unsteady and pulsating flow fields [1].

Approximate acoustic models are often used to calculate frequency-domain solutions for AIV [2]. The most common methods are: the Method of Characteristics (MOC) [3]; the Finite Element Method (FEM) [4]; the Matrix Condensation Method (MCM) [5, 6]; the Transfer Matrix Method (TMM) ([1, 5, 7–9]); and, finally, hybrid techniques that consists in combinations between the aforementioned methods like the MOC-FEM [3], the Finite Element Transfer Method (FETM) [5, 7, 9, 10] sometimes called as the Stiffness Matrix Method (SMM) ([1, 10]), as well as others. On the other hand, the structural response of the pipes is often obtained by FEM.

The objective of this work is to predict the acoustic and the structural harmonic responses of pipeline systems subjected to internal “low-frequency” distributed loads (internal acoustic pulsation in frequencies equivalent to the first harmonics of typical compressors pulsation’s spectra). It is assumed that the pipes are composed of linear elastic isotropic materials, with length much larger than the diameter and deflections sufficiently small compared to the pipe thickness. The target is to analyze the global structural behavior of the pipeline system, which here is considered as a system of beams. Also, it is considered a “weak” coupling between structural and acoustic fields based on the resultant structural stresses over the pipe wall (obtained analytically from pipe section dimensions and internal pressure), which is converted in axial structural forces.

Also, it is assumed that there is an acoustic field through a non-dissipative ideal gas inside each pipe/duct, and the structural vibration response of the pipe can be represented by the Timoshenko beam theory, for a defined domain and a set of

boundary conditions. The problem is modeled by a combination of FETM (for acoustic field) and FEM (for structural field) considering reciprocating compressor pulsation as the main source of excitation under time-harmonic plane wave assumption. Here, the FETM, which can be numerically implemented in the same way as FEM, enables simulating complex geometries and facilitates the weak coupling procedure.

An open source code called *OpenPulse* [11] was developed in Python to solve the AIV problem and, also, to solve separately the acoustic or the structural problem, when necessary. In this article, it is presented the main physical and numerical concepts that are used to develop *OpenPulse*, and the effectiveness of the adopted strategy is demonstrated using a simplified example application. The related engineering project is at an early stage, and preliminary results are demonstrated without experimental validation. This work is an update of the article presented at ISMA2020 (Leuven - Belgium) [2].

2. MODELLING THE ACOUSTIC DOMAIN

The main objective of the acoustic field analysis is the obtaining of the acoustic pressure (considering plane wave hypothesis, 1D acoustics) for a certain frequency range, at all points of a pipe system. After this procedure, the acoustic results are stored to be used in FEM structural analysis as a load case under certain coupling conditions. In this section, the TMM formulation for an 1D hard-walled straight uniform duct element and its adaptation to FETM is briefly presented.

2.1 Adapting TMM to FETM

The TMM is a powerful mathematical technique to build discretized models for performing analytical and numerical studies of the plane wave acoustics in pipe systems. This method is particularly efficient to deal with plane acoustical waves in tubular circuits, because of the following aspects of the formulation [8]: (i) two scalar fields p and q are sufficient to describe the waves; (ii) it uses exact analytical wave solutions for low order modes propagation (plane waves); (iii) only a few types of transfer matrices are necessary for assembling discretized tubular models; (iv) certain implementations and changes in boundary condi-




Figure 1: Uniform tube element (adapted from [8]).

tions (i.e., nodal impedances, position and kind of nodal sources) and extension to dissipative problems can be done maintaining the element formulation.

Consider a hard-walled straight uniform duct element as shown in Fig. 1. Gas properties like mean density ρ_f and speed of sound c_f are assumed to be uniform inside the tube. Volume velocity and pressure at the inlet of the element are denoted as q_1 and p_1 , while q_2 and p_2 denote the corresponding quantities at the outlet. Z represents the acoustical impedance of the tube element. The objective is to find a matrix equation that expresses the volume velocity $q(x, k)$ and the pressure $p(x, k)$ at any point x inside the tube element at the wavenumber $k = \omega/c_f$, in terms of their values at the inlet. The tube element can be represented as a linear system with two inputs and two outputs as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_2 \\ q_2 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{T}^e \begin{bmatrix} p_1 \\ q_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{T}^e$ is the 2x2 transfer matrix for the uniform tube element.

To obtain each entry of $\mathbf{T}^e$, it is needed to solve the wave equation under the assumption of plane waves. By definition, the domain of fluid in a tube is characterized by one dimension, x , which is much larger than the others. As a consequence, for a fluid oscillation at a given frequency ω , the compressibility parameter is predominant in the longitudinal direction. Moreover, if ω is small enough the compressibility parameter can be taken into account just in the longitudinal direction. So, the larger is the wavelength λ , the better the plane wave assumption works. Therefore, we can assume constant pressure in the whole tube cross-section and establish a frequency range validity

for this assumption as

$$\frac{\lambda}{r_i} = \frac{c_f}{\omega r_i} \gg 1, \quad (2)$$

where r_i is the inner duct radius. For this simple case, the homogeneous and non-dissipative wave equation is

$$p_{(xx)} - \frac{1}{c_f^2} p_{(tt)} = 0. \quad (3)$$

The sub-indexes inside parenthesis indicate derivatives.

Then, the 1D solution can be written in terms of traveling waves for the pressure as

$$p(x, t) = (Ae^{-ikx} + Be^{ikx})e^{i\omega t}. \quad (4)$$

Consequently, with the aid of Euler's equation:

$$q(x, t) = \frac{S_f}{\rho_f c_f} (Ae^{-ikx} - Be^{ikx})e^{i\omega t}, \quad (5)$$

where q is the interior volume velocity (which, for a given element n , is related to the particle velocity u_n by $q_n = S_{element_n} u_n$). From the mathematical standpoint, the two constants A and B appearing in the general solution of the local Eq. 3 are univocally defined if both the pressure and the volume velocity are specified at the inlet of the tube element.

Evaluating Eqs. 4 and 5 at $x = 0$ and $x = L$, the constants A and B are eliminated and the components of the transfer matrix $\mathbf{T}^e$ are obtained. Thus, Eq. 1 can be written as

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_2 \\ q_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(kx) & -iZ_f \sin(kx) \\ -\frac{i}{Z_f} \sin(kx) & \cos(kx) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_1 \\ q_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (6)$$

where $Z_f = \rho_f c_f / S_f$ is the acoustic fluid impedance. Observe that $\mathbf{T}^e$ is not symmetric because of the choice made in the definition of the input and output vectors, which mix kinematics and stress variables (mixed formulation) [8].

To relate the TMM with a FEM-like matrix form, a few algebraic operations need to be done to rearrange the variables of the mixed formulation into one not mixed (the same type of variables in each nodal vector), which is more suitable with the FEM matrices. In structural mechanics, this



method is known as FETM [7]. Taking the process suggested by [12], the TMM system of Eq. 6 can be adapted into the form (for a given duct element e)

$$\mathbf{K}_A^e \mathbf{p}^e = \mathbf{q}^e, \quad (7)$$

where $\mathbf{q}^e = [q_1, q_2]'$ and $\mathbf{p}^e = [p_1, p_2]'$ are the nodal volume velocities and the nodal pressures, respectively. $\mathbf{K}_A^e$ is the elementary matrix for a straight tube, which is presented in the expanded form of Eq. 7:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -i \cot(kx) / Z_f & i / Z_f \sin(kx) \\ i / Z_f \sin(kx) & -i \cot(kx) / Z_f \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

The matrix $\mathbf{K}_A^e$ is known as the element Mobility Matrix [13], being clearly symmetric. This name probably is the most appropriated based on the mechanical/acoustic analogue force-velocity/pressure-volume velocity. $\mathbf{K}_A^e$ is also called Acoustic Stiffness Matrix [12], Admittance Matrix [14, 15] and Four-Pole Matrix [16]. In essence, the matrix $\mathbf{K}_A^e$ still depends on harmonically functions and on the fluid wave-number k , i.e., it is frequency dependent ($k = \omega / c_f$).

2.2 Obtaining the global acoustic mobility matrix

In order to obtain the global acoustic behavior of the system, the elementary matrices $\mathbf{K}_A^e$ are assembled respecting the connectivity of each pipe element. The global mobility matrix $\mathbf{K}_A$ is obtained doing the same procedure as adopted in FEM (see, e.g., [17]). In this work, each 1D acoustic element has two nodes and each node has 1 degree-of-freedom (DOF) (pressure). Also, the elements can have arbitrary length and cross-sectional area without harming the continuity of the field variables p and q . Briefly, the steps for the assembly process of the n $\mathbf{K}_A^e$ matrices, considering a system with n elements, are: i) node indexing in a convenient way (dependent on the desired bandwidth for $\mathbf{K}_A$); ii) construction of the connectivity table; iii) assembly of the n $\mathbf{K}_A^e$ matrices according to the connectivity table, leading to $\mathbf{K}_A$.

Thus, for a given excitation frequency ω , the global acoustic system is

$$\mathbf{K}_A \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{q}, \quad (9)$$

where $\mathbf{K}_A$ is the global Mobility Matrix, $\mathbf{p}$ are the nodal pressures and $\mathbf{q}$ are the nodal volume velocities for the whole system.

2.3 Acoustic boundary conditions (BC)

In the global system presented in Eq. 9, the global pressure vector $\mathbf{p}$ is presented as an unknown variable and must be found. Before solving the system, it is necessary to consider the application of BC's, which can be classified as: i) nodal volume velocity, q_i ; ii) nodal pressure, p_i ; iii) nodal acoustic impedance, Z_i , or element acoustic impedance, Z_{ij} . Some components like valves, cylinders, filters, etc, can be considered by their equivalent acoustic impedances.

These BC's can vary with the frequency. For example, one can consider to apply a table of pressure obtained from an experiment or to apply a table of acoustic impedance that represents a valve, etc. Besides that, *OpenPulse* brings a simplified analytical model of a reciprocating compressor, enabling the obtaining of the resultant volume velocity for different construction/operation parameters. More details on the implementation of BC's used in this work can be found in [11].

2.4 Other features for acoustic analysis

Some features also implemented in *OpenPulse* that are detailed in [11]: capability of considering a mean flow velocity; adjustment of effective element lengths depending on the transition of pipe diameters (e.g., in expansion chambers and side branches); materials properties can be associated to different regions, making it possible to change gas properties according to the operational characteristics of each region of the domain (temperature, mean pressure, etc); addition of dissipation through inserting a complex wave number $\bar{k}$, if needed.

3. MODELLING THE STRUCTURAL DOMAIN

Once the acoustic domain is modeled, now we need to describe the structural part of the system. Structural FEM models based on beam theory are well consolidated for predicting global vibrations of pipe systems (considering here that the length of the pipes is much larger than the diameter, with small deflections and global behavior).

The classical Timoshenko beam theory requires only C^0 -continuity, enabling the use of finite element shape functions that are easily constructed. The C^0 Timoshenko's formulation also allows us to obtain better stress/strain values when compared to other procedures.




Figure 2: 3D beam local coordinates.

3.1 Main assumptions

It is initially assumed that the $\{x_1^e, x_2^e, x_3^e\}$ -axes are locally defined at the centroid of the beam segment cross-section and are principal axes [17], as can be seen in Fig. 2. A more detailed assumption is considered in *OpenPulse* [11], but the present one is sufficient to explain the structural modelling without losing of physical meaning.

The tridimensional displacements of a point (x_1, x_2, x_3) that belongs to a cross-sectional area A^e placed in x_1 are given by [17–19]:

$$u_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) = w_1(x_1, t) - x_2\theta_3(x_1, t) + x_3\theta_2(x_1, t), \quad (10)$$

$$u_2(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) = w_2(x_1, t) - x_3\theta_1(x_1, t), \quad (11)$$

$$u_3(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) = w_3(x_1, t) + x_2\theta_1(x_1, t). \quad (12)$$

Consequently, the movement of a given point (x_1, x_2, x_3) in the element domain Ω^e is described by $u(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) = [u_1, u_2, u_3]$.

The translation components w_i of the neutral line and the rotation angles θ_i of the sectional area are illustrated in Fig. 3. These kinematic constraints do not include warping (the plane sections remain plane). Besides that, it is considered that w_1 , w_2 and w_3 are small when compared to h^e ,

and $\sin \theta_i \approx \tan \theta_i \approx \theta_i$.




Figure 3: Deformation components in Timoshenko beam theory.

Different from Euler-Bernoulli theory, here σ_{21} ($= \sigma_{12}$) and σ_{31} ($= \sigma_{13}$) are not null (shear stress is considered). However, $\sigma_{23} = 0$ and $\sigma_{32} = 0$ (there is no bending along x_2 and x_3). Considering the homogeneous isotropic case, we have [17]:

$$\sigma_{ij} = \lambda \varepsilon_{kk} \delta_{ij} + 2\mu \varepsilon_{ij}, \quad (13)$$

where δ_{ij} is the “delta of Kronecker” (see [20] for a review on continuum mechanics), and λ and μ are the Lamé parameters, which are obtained by:

$$\lambda = \frac{\nu E}{(1 + \nu)(1 - 2\nu)}, \quad \mu = \frac{E}{2(1 + \nu)}. \quad (14)$$

The Young's modulus E and the Poisson's ratio ν are intrinsic material properties considering an isotropic media. Assuming the hypothesis for shear previously presented and Eq. 13, the following constitutive relations are obtained:

$$\sigma_{11} = E \varepsilon_{11}, \quad \sigma_{\gamma 1} = 2\mu \varepsilon_{\gamma 1}, \quad (15)$$

and with $\gamma = 2, 3$.

From Eqs. 10 to 12, the kinematic relations (strain-displacement equations) can be obtained as follows:

$$\varepsilon_{\beta\gamma} = u_{(\beta\gamma)} = 0, \quad (16)$$

$$\varepsilon_{11} = w_1' - x_2\theta_3' + x_3\theta_2', \quad (17)$$

$$\varepsilon_{21} = \frac{1}{2}(w_2' - x_3\theta_1' - \theta_3), \quad (18)$$



$$\varepsilon_{31} = \frac{1}{2}(w'_3 + x_2\theta'_1 + \theta_2). \quad (19)$$

where the primes denote differentiation with respect to x_1 ($d(\cdot)/dx_1$), and $\beta\gamma = 23, 32$.

3.2 Mechanical equilibrium and variational equation

Assuming the local condition of mechanical equilibrium in a continuum medium Ω , and considering the virtual displacement field $\bar{u}$ (a kinematic admissible field), the variational equation can be written as [17]

$$\int_{\Omega} \bar{u}_{(ij)} \sigma_{ij} d\Omega - \int_{\Omega} \bar{u}_i F_i d\Omega - \int_{\Gamma_k} \bar{u}_i k_i d\Gamma + \int_{\Omega} \bar{u}_i \rho \ddot{u}_i d\Omega = 0, \quad (20)$$

where Γ_k is the boundary of Ω where Newmann boundary conditions k_i are applied (at normal direction n). The entity F represents the body forces acting on Ω and ρ is the density of the material. The sub-indexes inside parenthesis indicate derivatives.

Considering $dA = dx_2 dx_3$, the domain can be represented by elements as follows:

$$\int_{\Omega} d\Omega = \sum_{e=1}^{nel} \int_{\Omega_e} d\Omega = \sum_{e=1}^{nel} \int_0^{h_e} \int_{A^e} dA dx_1. \quad (21)$$

Assuming $\Gamma_k = \{\odot\}$ due to the “line shape” of the simplified structure [17], it is applied the hypothesis that all distributed external loads (force/length or moment/length) can be considered in the body force vector F . By this way, Eq. 20 can be rewritten using a matricial form, emphasizing that the integration is performed over the element length:

$$0 = \sum_{e=1}^{nel} \left\{ \int_0^{h_e} \left[\bar{\gamma}^T \mathbf{D}^s \gamma + \bar{\kappa}^T \mathbf{D}^b \kappa + \bar{\varepsilon} (EA^e) \varepsilon + \bar{\Psi} (\mu J^e) \Psi \right] dx_1^e - \int_0^{h_e} \left[\bar{w}^T F + \bar{\theta}^T C \right] dx_1^e + \int_0^{h_e} \left[\bar{w}^T \mathbf{G}^{tr} \ddot{w} + \bar{\theta}^T \mathbf{G}^r \ddot{\theta} \right] dx_1^e \right\}, \quad (22)$$

where [17]

$$w = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ w_3 \end{bmatrix}, \theta = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \\ \theta_3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (23)$$

$$\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_2 \\ \gamma_3 \end{bmatrix}, \kappa = \begin{bmatrix} \kappa_2 \\ \kappa_3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (24)$$

$$\mathbf{D}^s = \begin{bmatrix} \mu A_s^e & 0 \\ 0 & \mu A_s^e \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{D}^b = \begin{bmatrix} EI_2^e & 0 \\ 0 & EI_3^e \end{bmatrix}, \quad (25)$$

$$\mathbf{G}^{tr} = \begin{bmatrix} \rho A^e & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \rho A^e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \rho A^e \end{bmatrix}, \quad (26)$$

$$\mathbf{G}^r = \begin{bmatrix} \rho J^e & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \rho I_2^e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \rho I_3^e \end{bmatrix}, \quad (27)$$

and: $\Psi = \theta'_3$; $\kappa_\beta = \theta'_\beta$ is called “curvature”; $\varepsilon = w'_1$; $\gamma_2 = w'_2 - \theta_3$; $\gamma_3 = w'_3 + \theta_2$; $F_i = \{F_i^e\}$ and $C_i = \{C_i^e\}$ are the element applied external forces and couples, respectively, per unity length, for $1 \leq e \leq nel$. In order to simplify the presentation of the main hypotheses, the assumption that $\{x_1^e, x_2^e, x_3^e\}$ -axes are locally defined at the centroid of the beam segment cross-section (and that they are principal axes) decouples bending from axial strain, in addition to decoupling torsional from transversal strain. In *OpenPulse*, a more real assumption is considered (see [11]).

In the above equations, J^e , I_2^e and I_3^e are the area moments of inertia and A_s^e is the effective shear area considering a representative shear correction factor [21].

3.3 Structural Finite Element Method

The FEM procedure is implemented assuming that [17]:

$$w_i^e(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) = \sum_{a=1}^{npel} N_a w_{ia}^e, \quad (28)$$

and

$$\theta_i^e(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) = \sum_{a=1}^{npel} N_a \theta_{ia}^e, \quad (29)$$

where N_a is the shape function related to the “weights” w_{ia} and θ_{ia} at node a in element e .

In order to obtain the element matrices, we assume the following vector form for the element’s degrees of freedom weights and element’s load weights: $\mathbf{d}^e = [w_{11}^e, w_{21}^e, w_{31}^e, \theta_{11}^e, \theta_{21}^e, \theta_{31}^e, \dots, w_{1npe}^e, w_{2npe}^e, w_{3npe}^e, \theta_{1npe}^e, \theta_{2npe}^e, \theta_{3npe}^e]'$. Consequently,

$$\begin{bmatrix} w_1^e(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) \\ w_2^e(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) \\ w_3^e(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) \\ \theta_1^e(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) \\ \theta_2^e(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) \\ \theta_3^e(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{N} \mathbf{d}^e, \quad (30)$$

where $\mathbf{N}$ is the matrix of shape functions. Considering $\mathbf{B}^a$, $\mathbf{B}^b$, $\mathbf{B}^s$ and $\mathbf{B}^t$ the matrices of shape functions derivatives depending on the strain evaluated in Eq. 22, the element stiffness matrix $\mathbf{K}^e$ is obtained as [17]

$$\mathbf{K}^e = \mathbf{K}_b^e + \mathbf{K}_s^e + \mathbf{K}_a^e + \mathbf{K}_t^e, \quad (31)$$

where

$$\mathbf{K}_b^e = \int_0^{h_e} \mathbf{B}^{bT} \mathbf{D}^b \mathbf{B}^b dx_1^e \text{ (bending stiffness),} \quad (32)$$

$$\mathbf{K}_s^e = \int_0^{h_e} \mathbf{B}^{sT} \mathbf{D}^s \mathbf{B}^s dx_1^e \text{ (shear stiffness),} \quad (33)$$

$$\mathbf{K}_a^e = \int_0^{h_e} \mathbf{B}^{aT} (EA) \mathbf{B}^a dx_1^e \text{ (axial stiffness),} \quad (34)$$

$$\mathbf{K}_t^e = \int_0^{h_e} \mathbf{B}^{tT} (\mu J) \mathbf{B}^t dx_1^e \text{ (torsional stiffness).} \quad (35)$$

The element mass matrix $\mathbf{M}^e$ is obtained as

$$\mathbf{M}^e = \mathbf{M}_{tr}^e + \mathbf{M}_r^e, \quad (36)$$

where

$$\mathbf{M}_{tr}^e = \int_0^{h_e} \mathbf{N}^{trT} \mathbf{G}^{tr} \mathbf{N}^{tr} dx_1^e \text{ (translational mass),} \quad (37)$$

$$\mathbf{M}_r^e = \int_0^{h_e} \mathbf{N}^{rT} \mathbf{G}^r \mathbf{N}^r dx_1^e \text{ (rotational mass).} \quad (38)$$

Considering $\mathbf{F}_{intg} = [F_1, F_2, F_3, C_1, C_2, C_3]'$, the

element force vector is obtained as follows:

$$\mathbf{f}^e = \int_0^{h_e} \mathbf{N}^T \mathbf{F}_{intg} dx_1^e. \quad (39)$$

3.4 Obtaining the global structural system of equations

To obtain the global structural behavior of the system, the elementary entities $\mathbf{K}_e$, $\mathbf{M}_e$ and $\mathbf{f}_e$ are assembled, after local to global coordinate system transformation [19], respecting the connectivity of each beam element. The elements can have arbitrary length and cross-sectional area without harming the continuity of the field variables. After the assembling procedure, the global structural system is

$$\mathbf{K} \mathbf{d} + \mathbf{M} \ddot{\mathbf{d}} = \mathbf{f}, \quad (40)$$

where $\mathbf{K}$ and $\mathbf{M}$ are global stiffness and mass matrices, respectively, $\mathbf{d}$ are the nodal displacements and rotations, and $\mathbf{f}$ are the nodal forces and moments for the whole system. In this work, the objective is to analyze time-harmonic vibrations. So, the system above can be rewritten as

$$(\mathbf{K} - \omega^2 \mathbf{M}) \mathbf{d}(\omega) = \mathbf{f}(\omega). \quad (41)$$

The damping matrix $\mathbf{C}$ used in harmonic analysis (considering only the material damping) is defined as

$$\mathbf{C} = \alpha \mathbf{M} + (\beta + \frac{1}{\omega} \eta) \mathbf{K}, \quad (42)$$

where α is the mass-proportional coefficient, β is stiffness-proportional coefficient, and η is the structural (hysteretic) damping. $\omega = 2\pi f$, where f is the excitation frequency. Eq. 41 is rewritten as

$$(\mathbf{K} + j\omega \mathbf{C} - \omega^2 \mathbf{M}) \mathbf{d}(\omega) = \mathbf{f}(\omega). \quad (43)$$

3.5 Structural boundary conditions (BC)

In the global system presented in Eq. 43, the global vector $\mathbf{d}$ is presented as an unknown variable and must be found. Before solving the system, it is necessary to consider the applications of the BC’s, which can be classified as: i) nodal forces and/or moments, f_i ; nodal displacements and/rotations, d_i ; nodal lumped parameters: mass, spring and dampers. These BC’s can vary with the frequency. For example, one can consider to apply



a table of accelerations obtained from an experiment, or to apply a table of spring stiffness that represents supports, etc. More details on the implementation of BC's used in this work can be found in [11].

3.6 Other features for structural analysis

Some features also implemented in *OpenPulse* that are detailed in [11]: possibility of applying an offset between local coordinates and the beam's neutral axis as described in [22]; calculation of section properties and shear correction factor through a FE mesh of each section, as described in [22]; different materials can be associated to different regions, making it possible to change pipe properties according to the operational/structural characteristics of each part of the domain; addition of distributed mass for representing insulation material, if relevant.

4. ACOUSTIC-STRUCTURE ONE-WAY COUPLING

In the previous sections, the acoustic behavior of the fluid being transported throughout pipes and the structural behavior of pipeline systems were described independently (by assuming appropriate hypotheses that allow modeling each behavior independently). However, the objective of this work is to predict the dynamic response of structural systems subjected to harmonic acoustic loads.

In this work, we consider the structural pipe element as a beam with a hollow section, as can be seen in Fig. 4. The acoustic pipe element is configured in such a manner that the FETM mesh is the same as that used for FEM structural analysis. Additionally, the acoustic pipe element diameter equals to the internal structural diameter D_i considered in the respective beam element.

There are some important works on the formulation of an “elasto-acoustic” beam based on the “strong” coupling between structural and fluid fields [23–26]. However, in this work it is assumed a “weak” coupling (one-way coupling) based on the following hypotheses:

- the fluid is a gas;
- the viscosity of the fluid is negligible;




Figura 4: Section of the pipe element.

- the acoustic plane wave propagates in axial direction (1-D acoustics);
- the pipe is thin-walled and linearly elastic;
- the radial inertia of the pipe wall is neglected if considering low frequencies ($ka \ll 1$) [27];
- the acoustic wave speed c_f in the gas is affected by the mechanical compliance of the pipe wall [27] and is given by:

$$c_f = \left(\frac{\rho_f}{K_f} \left(1 + \frac{D_i K_f}{E t} \right) \right)^{-1/2}, \quad (44)$$

where ρ_f is the fluid mass density, K_f is the fluid bulk modulus, E is the Young's modulus of pipe material, D_i is the internal diameter and t is the pipe wall thickness;

- resultant normal and shear stresses in the structure are derived from the internal fluid pressure under the assumptions: i) plane stress conditions in the wall; ii) cross sections remain plane to the neutral axis.

4.1 Internal pressure effect on a structural pipe: equivalent load vector

In the approach used in this work [28, 29], considering the assumptions listed above, the load equivalent to the stress field induced by the pressure effect is applied on each element as an external axial load, considering harmonic pulsation/vibration with an excitation frequency ω .

The axial stress is resultant from the difference between internal and external pressure, and is given by [28, 30]:

$$\sigma_1(\omega) = \frac{p_i(\omega) D_i^2 - p_o D_o^2}{D_o^2 - D_i^2}, \quad (45)$$




Figure 5: Sequence of problem set-up in *OpenPulse*.

where $p_i(\omega)$ is the internal pressure, obtained from the acoustic problem defined in Eq. 9 considering Eq. 44; D_i is the internal diameter; D_o is the external diameter; and p_o is the external pressure, considered constant in this work.

The radial stress can be obtained using the Lamé stress distribution [28, 30], using the internal and external pressures as a boundary condition:

$$\sigma_r(r, \omega) = \frac{p_i(\omega)D_i^2 - p_oD_o^2}{D_o^2 - D_i^2} - \frac{D_i^2D_o^2(p_i(\omega) - p_o)}{4r^2(D_o^2 - D_i^2)}. \quad (46)$$

And the hoop (circumferential) stress is obtained using the same stress distribution considered in the radial case, resulting in:

$$\sigma_c(r, \omega) = \frac{p_i(\omega)D_i^2 - p_oD_o^2}{D_o^2 - D_i^2} + \frac{D_i^2D_o^2(p_i(\omega) - p_o)}{4r^2(D_o^2 - D_i^2)}. \quad (47)$$

Consequently, the axial equivalent forces acting at both ends of each element can be found, and the additional element load vector $\mathbf{f}_p^e$, which must be added to Eq. 39, is given by:

$$\mathbf{f}_p^e = [-F_p, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, F_p, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]^T, \quad (48)$$

where

$$F_p = A_e E \left[\frac{1}{E} (\sigma_1 - \nu(\sigma_r + \sigma_c)) \right]. \quad (49)$$

After this procedure, the new element load vectors $\mathbf{f}_p^e$ can be assembled and added to the global vector $\mathbf{f}$, which allows the calculation of the displacements vector $\mathbf{d}$ through Eq. 43. The axial stress σ_1 is considered only in the “capped end” condition [11].

4.2 Fluid mass effect

The mass of the gas is considered distributed throughout the length of the structural elements. Thus, the matrix $\mathbf{G}^{tr}$ in Eq. 26 is modified as follows

$$\mathbf{G}^{tr'} = \mathbf{G}^{tr} + \begin{bmatrix} \rho_f A_i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \rho_f A_i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \rho_f A_i \end{bmatrix}, \quad (50)$$

where A_i is the internal area of the pipe, calculated with D_i .

5. IMPLEMENTATION AND USER INTERFACE USING PYTHON

OpenPulse is a open-source software written in Python 3.7.7, with the requirement of installing the libraries numpy, scipy, vtk, PyQt5, Gmsh, h5py and matplotlib. The software is implemented in a way that follows the sequence illustrated in Fig. 5.

A friendly interface allows the user to import the geometry of the pipe system (lines in IGES format), insert materials properties, set sections, and import pressure/acceleration/force loads (from measurements or theory), as can be seen in Fig. 6. After defining the FEM mesh for the model, the user can plot the piping system geometry, see the FE mesh, and run the desired simulations after a proper setup. It is possible to plot deformed shapes, frequency plots of acoustical and structural responses, stress fields, and local stresses of desired sections.

The code and a more detailed description of the implementation can be found in [11].




Figure 6: Main window of *OpenPulse*. Entry of properties and section parameters.

6. SIMPLIFIED EXAMPLE OF APPLICATION

In this section, the simplified piping system presented in Fig. 7a is analyzed. The maximum pipe length in the model is 2.25 m. The element size for acoustic and structural analyses is 0.01 m (the same mesh is used in both analyses). The external and internal diameters are $D_o = 0.05$ m and $D_i = 0.034$ m. An expansion chamber is considered with $D_o = 0.2$ m and $D_i = 0.184$ m. The structure is modelled considering steel pipes with $E = 210$ GPa, $\nu = 0.3$ and $\rho = 7860$ kg/m³. The gas being transported is hydrogen with $\rho_f = 0.087$ kg/m³ and $c_f = 1321.1$ m/s. In this simple example, no dissipation or damping is considered. A general compressor is set to have the volumetric flow depicted in Figure 7b.

An acoustic impedance is applied at the end of the piping system to simulate an infinite tube. For the structure, it is considered a fixation of some translational movements (rotations free) in the nodes marked in green in Figure 7a. Remembering that it is possible to set other boundary conditions for structural analysis as forces, vibrations, springs, etc.

According to the parameters of the compressor considered, the harmonic analysis is set to run from 0 to 200 Hz, with increments of 2 Hz.




Figure 7: (a) Simple example of a piping system supported by “I” type beams. In red: discharge of a reciprocating compressor. In green: displacement constraints. (b) Frequency plot of volumetric flow rate at the discharge of a compressor.

The acoustic and structural responses of the piping system are presented in Fig. 8 for an excitation frequency of 12 Hz (fundamental frequency in the spectrum of the excitation pressure).




Figure 8: (a) Acoustic response and (b) structural response at 12 Hz. Colormap: amplitudes.

The frequency plot of acoustic pressure and structural displacement can be done in the results module of *OpenPulse*. For example, a node at the left largest vertical tube (node 563) is analyzed in Fig. 9.

7. CONCLUSION

In this work, the authors presented physical and numeric details of the implementation of an open source software - the *OpenPulse* - with the objective of analyzing acoustically induced vibration caused in pipeline systems by low-frequency internal pulsation. The related project is in an early stage, but the first results present coherent significance, which can be validated with other commercial FEM codes (using 3D FEM acoustic analysis and 3D beam structures). The authors hope to publish a future work presenting different possibilities of using *OpenPulse* in more realistic examples, considering more piping elements (valves, chambers, etc) and comparing them with




Figure 9: (a) Frequency plot of pressure amplitude and (b) x-velocity amplitude at node 563.

experimental results.

REFERÊNCIAS

- [1] Kaneko, Shigehiko; Nakamura, Tomomichi; Inada, Fumio; Kato, Minoru; Ishihara, Kunihiro; Nishihara, Takashi e Langthjem, Mikael A., editores. *Flow-induced Vibrations*. Second edition^a ed. Oxford: Academic Press, 2014. ISBN 978-0-08-098347-9. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-098347-9.00001-1>.
- [2] Silva, Olavo; Tuozzo, D; Vargas, J; Kulakauskas, L; Fernandes, A; Souza, J; Rocha, A; Lenzi, Arcanjo; Timbó, R; Mendonça, C e Brandão, A. Numerical modelling of low-frequency acoustically induced vibration in gas pipeline systems. Em *Proc. 29th International Conference on Noise and Vibration engineering (ISMA2020) - At: Online - Virtual Platform*, 09 2020.
- [3] Li, Shuaijun; Karney, Bryan W. e Liu, Gongmin. Fsi research in pipeline systems – a review of the literature. *Journal of Fluids and Structures*, 57:277 – 297, 2015. ISSN 0889-9746. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfluidstructs.2015.06.020>.
- [4] Coulon, Anne; Salanon, Rémi e Ancian, Loïc. Innovative numerical fatigue methodology for piping systems: qualifying acoustic induced vibration in the oil & gas industry. *Procedia Engineering*, 213:762–775, 2018. ISSN 1877-7058. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2018.02.072>. 7th International Conference on Fatigue Design, Fatigue Design 2017, 29-30 November 2017, Senlis, France.
- [5] Craggs, A. The application of the transfer matrix and matrix condensation methods with finite elements to duct acoustics. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*.



- tion, 132(3):393 – 402, 1989. ISSN 0022-460X. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-460X\(89\)90633-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-460X(89)90633-0).
- [6] Sahasrabudhe, A.D.; Ramu, S. Anantha e Munjal, M.L. Matrix condensation and transfer matrix techniques in the 3-d analysis of expansion chamber mufflers. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 147(3):371 – 394, 1991. ISSN 0022-460X. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-460X\(91\)90487-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-460X(91)90487-5).
- [7] Tesár, A. e Fillo, L'. *Transfer matrix method*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1988. Mathematics and its applications (Kluwer Academic Publishers).: East European series. ISBN 9789027725905.
- [8] Axisa, F. e Antunes, J. Chapter 4 plane acoustical waves in pipe systems. Em Axisa, F. e Antunes, J., editores, *Fluid-Structure Interaction*, volume 3 of *Modelling of Mechanical Systems*, págs. 243 – 352. Butterworth-Heinemann, 2007. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1874-7051\(07\)80007-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1874-7051(07)80007-7).
- [9] Botros, K.K. e Van Hardeveld, T. *Pipeline Pumping and Compression Systems: A Practical Approach*. ASME Press, 2018. ISBN 9780791861783.
- [10] Frid, A. Fluid vibration in piping systems—a structural mechanics approach, i: Theory. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 133(3):423 – 438, 1989. ISSN 0022-460X. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-460X\(89\)90608-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-460X(89)90608-1).
- [11] Silva, Olavo; Tuozzo, D; Vargas, J; Kulakauskas, L; Fernandes, A; Souza, J; Rocha, A; Lenzi, Arcanjo; Timbó, R; Mendonça, C e Brandão, A. Openpulse: Open source software for pulsation analysis of pipeline systems. %urlhttps://openpulse.github.io/OpenPulse/, 2021.
- [12] The application of the transfer matrix and matrix condensation methods with finite elements to duct acoustics. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 132(3):393 – 402, 1989. ISSN 0022-460X. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-460X\(89\)90633-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-460X(89)90633-0).
- [13] Fluid vibration in piping systems—a structural mechanics approach, i: Theory. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 133(3):423 – 438, 1989. ISSN 0022-460X. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-460X\(89\)90608-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-460X(89)90608-1).
- [14] Chevillotte, F. e Panneton, R. Coupling transfer matrix method to finite element method for analyzing the acoustics of complex hollow body networks. *Applied Acoustics*, 72(12):962 – 968, 2011. ISSN 0003-682X. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apacoust.2011.06.005>.
- [15] Nijhof, M.J.J. *Viscothermal wave propagation*. Tese de doutorado, University of Twente, Netherlands, 12 2010. doi: [10.3990/1.9789036531191](https://doi.org/10.3990/1.9789036531191).
- [16] To, C.W.S. The acoustic simulation and analysis of complicated reciprocating compressor piping systems, i: Analysis technique and parameter matrices of acoustic elements. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 96(2):175 – 194, 1984. ISSN 0022-460X. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-460X\(84\)90577-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-460X(84)90577-7).
- [17] Hughes, T.J.R. *Dover Civil and Mechanical Engineering*. ISBN 9780486411811.
- [18] Andersen, L. e Nielsen, S. R. K. ISBN 1901-7286.
- [19] Zienkiewicz, O. C. e Taylor, R. L. *The Finite Element Method For Solid and Structural Mechanics*. Butterworth-Heinemann, 2005.
- [20] Holzapfel, G. A. *Nonlinear Solid Mechanics: A Continuum Approach for Engineering*. Wiley, 2000.
- [21] Oñate, E. *Structural Analysis with the Finite Element Method. Linear Statics. Volume 2: Beams, Plates and Shells*. CIMNE, 2013.
- [22] Pilkey, W. D. *Analysis and Design of Elastic Beams: Computational Methods*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2002.
- [23] Ohayon, R. Variational analysis of a slender fluid–structure system: The elasto-acoustic beam—a new symmetric formulation. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, 22(3):637–647, 1986. doi: [10.1002/nme.1620220309](https://doi.org/10.1002/nme.1620220309).
- [24] Piet-Lahanier, N. e Ohayon, R. Finite element analysis of a slender fluid—structure system. *Journal of Fluids and Structures*, 4(6):631 – 645, 1990. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0889-9746\(90\)90234-V](https://doi.org/10.1016/0889-9746(90)90234-V).
- [25] Tijsseling, A.S. Fluid-structure interaction in liquid-filled pipe systems: A review. *Journal of Fluids and Structures*, 10(2):109 – 146, 1996. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1006/jfls.1996.0009>.
- [26] Ferras, David; Manso, Pedro A.; Schleiss, Anton J. e Covas, Dídía I. C. One-dimensional fluid–structure interaction models in pressurized fluid-filled pipes: A review. *Applied Sciences*, 8(10), 2018. doi: [10.3390/app8101844](https://doi.org/10.3390/app8101844).
- [27] Lin, T. C. e Morgan, G. W. Wave propagation through fluid contained in a cylindrical, elastic shell. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 28(6): 1165–1176, 1956. doi: [10.1121/1.1908583](https://doi.org/10.1121/1.1908583).
- [28] ANSYS® Academic Research, Release 12.1. Help system, coupled field analysis guide. *ANSYS, Inc*, 2012.
- [29] Lavooij, C.S.W. e Tijsseling, A.S. Fluid-structure interaction in compliant piping systems. Em Thorley, A.R.D., editor, *Proceedings 6th BHRA International Conference on Pressure Surges (Cambridge, UK, October 4-6, 1989)*, págs. 85–100. British Hydromechanics Research Association, 1990. ISBN 0-947711-79-1.
- [30] Boresi, A.; Chong, K. e Lee, J. D. *Elasticity in Engineering Mechanics*. Wiley, 2010.